arXiv:1105.4222v1 [math.PR] 21 May 2011

Stability theorems for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion

Defei Zhang*

Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

Abstract

In this paper, stability theorems for stochastic differential equations and backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion are obtained. We show the existence and uniqueness of solutions to forward-backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion. Stability theorem for forward-backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion is also presented.

Key Words: Stability theorem, G-Brownian motion, forward-backward stochastic differential equations.
Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60H10, 60H30.

1 Introduction

Consider a family of ordinary stochastic differential equations (SDEs for short) parameterized by $\varepsilon \geq 0$,

$$X_t^\varepsilon = x_0^\varepsilon + \int_0^t b^\varepsilon(s, X_s^\varepsilon)ds + \int_0^t \sigma^\varepsilon(s, X_s^\varepsilon)dW_s, t \in [0,T],$$

where W_t is classical Brownian motion. It is well known that the strong convergence of the coefficient in L^2 implies the strong convergence of the solutions, that is, if

$$x_0^\varepsilon \to x_0^0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

and

$$E[\int_0^T (|b^\varepsilon(s, X_s^0) - b^0(s, X_s^0)|^2 + |\sigma^\varepsilon(s, X_s^0) - \sigma^0(s, X_s^0)|^2)ds] \to 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

then under Lipschitz and other reasonable assumptions, their solutions also converge strongly in L^2,

$$\forall\ t \in [0,T], E[|X_t^\varepsilon - X_t^0|^2] \to 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

---

*Corresponding author. E-mail: zhdefei@163.com.

This result, known as the continuous dependence theorem, or the stability property, can be found in many standard textbooks of SDEs (e.g., see [16]).

Backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs for short) driven by classical Brownian motion were introduced, in linear case, by Bismut [3] in 1973. In 1990, Pardoux and Peng considered general BSDEs (see [12]). Similar continuous dependence theorem for the case of backward stochastic differential equations was obtained by El Karoui, Peng and Quenez (1994) [6] and Hu and Peng (1997) [10].

As for the forward-backward equations, Antonelli [1] first studied these equations, and he gave the existence and uniqueness when the time duration T is sufficiently small. Using a PDE approach, Ma, Protter and Yong [11] gave the existence and uniqueness to a class of forward-backward SDEs in which the forward SDE is non-degenerate. In 1995, Hu and Peng [9] study the existence and uniqueness of the solutions to forward-backward stochastic differential equations without the non-degeneracy condition.

Motivated by uncertainty problems, risk measures and the superhedging in finance, Peng(2006, see [13]) has introduced the notion of sublinear expectation space, which is a generalization of classical probability space. Together with the notion of sublinear expectation, Peng also introduced the related G-normal distribution and G-Brownian motion. The expectation associated with G-Brownian motion is a sublinear expectation which is called G-expectation. The stochastic calculus with respect to the G-Brownian motion has been established by Peng in [13], [14] and [15]. Since these notions were introduced, many properties of G-Brownian motion have been studied by authors, for example, [5], [7], [8], [17]-[19], et al.

Therefore, the natural questions are: stability properties for stochastic differential equations and backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion are also true? How to obtain the existence and uniqueness of the solution of a forward-backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion? The goal of this paper is to study stability properties for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion (G-SDEs for short) and backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion (G-BSDEs for short). Indeed, under Lipschitz or integral-Lipschitz condition and other reasonable assumptions, stability theorems for G-SDEs and G-BSDEs are obtained. Meanwhile, we also show the existence and uniqueness of the solution of a new type of forward-backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we recall briefly some notions and properties about G-expectation and G-Brownian motion. In Section 3, we study the stability properties of G-SDEs, while Section 4, study the G-BSDEs case. At last, the existence and uniqueness of the solution of forward-backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion are obtained. Stability theorem for forward-backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion is also presented.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and preliminaries about sublinear expectations and G-Brownian motion, which will be needed in what follows. More details concerning this section may be found in [13], [14] and [15].

Let Ω be a given set and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear space of real valued bounded functions defined on Ω. We suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies $C \in \mathcal{H}$ for each constant C and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$, if $X \in \mathcal{H}$.

Definition 2.1. A sublinear expectation $\mathbb{E}$ is a functional $\mathbb{E}:\mathcal{H}\to R$ satisfying
(i) Monotonicity: $\mathbb{E}[X]\geq\mathbb{E}[Y]$ if $X\geq Y$.
(ii) Constant preserving: $\mathbb{E}[C]=C$ for $C\in R$.
(iii) Sub-additivity: For each $X,Y\in\mathcal{H},\mathbb{E}[X+Y]\leq\mathbb{E}[X]+\mathbb{E}[Y]$.
(iv) Positive homogeneity: $\mathbb{E}[\lambda X]=\lambda\mathbb{E}[X]$ for $\lambda\geq 0$.

The triple $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\mathbb{E})$ is called a sublinear expectation space. If (i) and (ii) are satisfied, $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ is called a nonlinear expectation and the triple $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\mathbb{E})$ is called a nonlinear expectation space.

From now on, we consider the following sublinear expectation space $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\mathbb{E})$: if $X_1,\cdots,X_n\in\mathcal{H}$, then $\varphi(X_1,\cdots,X_n)\in\mathcal{H}$ for each $\varphi\in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_{l,Lip}(R^n)$ denotes the linear space of functions φ satisfying $|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|\leq C(1+|x|^m+|y|^m)|x-y|$ for $x,y\in R^n$, some $C>0,m\in\mathbb{N}$ depending on φ.

Definition 2.2 . Let X and Y be two n-dimensional random vectors defined on nonlinear expectation spaces $(\Omega_1,\mathcal{H}_1,\mathbb{E}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2,\mathcal{H}_2,\mathbb{E}_2)$, respectively. They are called identically distributed, denoted by $X\overset{d}{=}Y$, if

$$\mathbb{E}_1[\varphi(X)]=\mathbb{E}_2[\varphi(Y)],\ \text{for}\ \forall\varphi\in C_{l,Lip}(R^n).$$

Definition 2.3. In a nonlinear expectation space $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\mathbb{E})$, a random vector $Y\in\mathcal{H}^n$ is said to be independent from another random vector $X\in\mathcal{H}^m$ under $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$, if

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X,Y)]=\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\varphi(x,Y)]_{x=X}],\ \text{for}\ \forall\varphi\in C_{l,Lip}(R^{m+n}).$$

$\bar{X}$ is called an independent copy of X if $\bar{X}\overset{d}{=}X$ and $\bar{X}$ is independent from X.

Definition 2.4 (G-normal distribution). In a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\mathbb{E})$, a random variable $X\in\mathcal{H}$ with

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2]=\bar{\sigma}^2,-\mathbb{E}[-X^2]=\underline{\sigma}^2,$$

is said to be $N(0;[\underline{\sigma}^2,\bar{\sigma}^2])$-distributed, if for each $\bar{X}\in\mathcal{H}$ which is an independent copy of X we have

$$aX+b\bar{X}\overset{d}{=}\sqrt{a^2+b^2}X,\quad\forall a,b\geq 0.$$

Definition 2.5 (G-Brownian motion). A process $\{B_t(\omega)\}_{t\geq 0}$ in a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega,\mathcal{H},\mathbb{E})$, is called a G-Brownian motion if for each $n\in N$ and $0\leq t_1\leq\cdots\leq t_n<\infty,B_{t_1},\cdots,B_{t_n}\in\mathcal{H}$ and the following properties are satisfied:
(i) $B_0(\omega)=0$;
(ii) For each $t,s\geq 0$, the increment $B_{t+s}-B_t$ is $N(0;[\underline{\sigma}^2,\bar{\sigma}^2])$-distributed and is independent from $(B_{t_1},\cdots,B_{t_n})$ for each $n\in N$ and $0\leq t_1\leq\cdots\leq t_n\leq t$.

We denote by $\Omega=C_0^d(R^+)$ the space of all R^d-valued continuous paths $(\omega_t)_{t\in R^+}$, with $\omega_0=0$, equipped with the distance $\rho(\omega^1,\omega^2):=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}2^{-i}[(\max\limits_{t\in[0,i]}|\omega_t^1-\omega_t^2|)\wedge 1]$. Considering the canonical process $B_t(\omega)=(\omega_t)_{t\geq 0}$. For each fixed $T>0$, set $\Omega_T:=\{\omega_{\cdot\wedge T}:\omega\in\Omega\}$ and

$$L_{ip}(\Omega_T):=\{\varphi(B_{t_1},B_{t_2},...,B_{t_m}):m\geq 1,t_1,...,t_m\in[0,T],\varphi\in C_{l,Lip}(R^{d\times m})\},$$

and define $L_{ip}(\Omega):=\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}L_{ip}(\Omega_n)$.

Let ξ be a G-normal distributed, or $N(0;[\sigma^2,1])$-distributed random variable in a sublinear expectation space $(\widetilde{\Omega},\widetilde{\mathcal{H}},\widetilde{\mathbb{E}})$. We now introduce a sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ defined on $L_{ip}(\Omega)$ via the following procedure: for each $X\in L_{ip}(\Omega)$ with

$$X=\varphi(B_{t_1}-B_{t_0},B_{t_2}-B_{t_1},\cdots,B_{t_m}-B_{t_{m-1}}),$$

for some $\varphi\in C_{l,Lip}(R^{d\times m})$ and $0=t_0<t_1<\cdots<t_m<\infty$, we set

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(B_{t_1}-B_{t_0},\cdots,B_{t_m}-B_{t_{m-1}})]:=\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\sqrt{t_1-t_0}\xi_1,\cdots,\sqrt{t_m-t_{m-1}}\xi_m)],$$

where $(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_m)$ is an m-dimensional G-normal distributed random vector in a sublinear expectation space $(\widetilde{\Omega},\widetilde{\mathcal{H}},\widetilde{\mathbb{E}})$ such that $\xi_i\overset{d}{=}N(0;[\sigma^2,1])$ and such that ξ_{i+1} is independent from $(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_i)$ for each $i=1,\cdots,m$.

The related conditional expectation of $X=\varphi(B_{t_1}-B_{t_0},B_{t_2}-B_{t_1},...,B_{t_m}-B_{t_{m-1}})$ under Ω_{t_j} is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_{t_j}]&=\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(B_{t_1},B_{t_2}-B_{t_1},\cdots,B_{t_m}-B_{t_{m-1}})|\Omega_{t_j}]\\&:=\psi(B_{t_1},,\cdots,B_{t_j}-B_{t_{j-1}}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(x_1,\cdots,x_j)=\widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x_1,\cdots,x_j,\sqrt{t_{j+1}-t_j}\xi_{j+1},\cdots,\sqrt{t_m-t_{m-1}}\xi_m)].$$

Definition 2.6. The expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]:L_{ip}(\Omega)\to R$ defined through the above procedure is called G-expectation. The corresponding canonical process $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in the sublinear expectation space $(\Omega,L_{ip}(\Omega),\hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a G-Brownian motion.

We denote by $L_G^p(\Omega_T),p\geq 1$, the completion of $L_{ip}(\Omega_T)$ under the norm $||X||_p:=(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^p])^{1/p}$. Similarly, denote $L_G^p(\Omega)$ is complete space of $L_{ip}(\Omega)$. We give some important properties about conditional G-expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot|\Omega_t],t\in[0,T]$.

Proposition 2.1. The conditional expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot|\Omega_t],t\in[0,T]$ holds for each $X,Y\in L_G^1(\Omega_t)$:
(i) If $X\geq Y$, then $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_t]\geq\hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\Omega_t]$.
(ii) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\eta|\Omega_t]=\eta$, for each $t\in[0,\infty)$ and $\eta\in L_G^1(\Omega_t)$.
(iii) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_t]-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\Omega_t]\leq\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X-Y|\Omega_t]$.
(iv) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\eta X|\Omega_t]=\eta^+\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_t]+\eta^-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-X|\Omega_t]$ for each bounded $\eta\in L_G^1(\Omega_t)$.
(v) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_t]|\Omega_s]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_{t\wedge s}]$, in particular, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_t]]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$.

Next, we introduce the Itô's integral with G-Brownian motion. For $T\in R^+$, a partition π_T of $[0,T]$ is a finite ordered subset $\pi_T=\{t_0,t_1,...,t_N\}$ such that $0=t_0<t_1<...<t_N=T$,

$$\mu(\pi_T):=\max\{|t_{i+1}-t_i|:i=0,1,...,N-1\}.$$

Using $\pi_T^N=\{t_0^N,t_1^N,...,t_N^N\}$ to denote a sequence of partitions of $[0,T]$ such that $\lim_{N\to\infty}\mu(\pi_T^N)=0$. Let $p\geq 1$ be fixed. We consider the following type of simple processes: for a given partition $\pi_T=\{t_0,t_1,...,t_N\}$ of $[0,T]$, set $\eta_t(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\xi_k(\omega)\mathbf{I}_{[t_k,t_{k+1})}(t)$, where $\xi_k\in L_G^P(\Omega_{t_k}),k=0,1,...,N-1$ are given. The collection of these processes is denoted by $M_G^{p,0}(0,T)$. For each $p\geq 1$, we denote by $M_G^p([0,T];R^n)$ the completion of $M_G^{p,0}([0,T];R^n)$ under the norm $||\eta_t||_{M_G^p([0,T])}:=(\int_0^T\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\eta_t|^p]dt)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Definition 2.7. For an $\eta\in M_G^{p,0}(0,T)$, the related Bochner integral is

$$\int_0^T\eta_t(\omega)dt:=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}\xi_k(\omega)(t_{k+1}-t_k).$$

Let $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion with $G(a) := \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[aB_1^2] = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^2 a^+ - \underline{\sigma}^2 a^-)$, where $\bar{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[B_1^2], \underline{\sigma}^2 = -\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-B_1^2]$, $0 \leq \underline{\sigma} \leq \bar{\sigma} < \infty$.

Definition 2.8. For an $\eta \in M_G^{2,0}(0,T)$ of the form $\eta_t(\omega) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\omega)\mathbf{I}_{[t_k,t_{k+1})}(t)$, define

$$\int_0^T \eta(s)dB_s := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(B_{t_{k+1}} - B_{t_k}).$$

Proposition 2.2. For each $\eta \in M_G^{2,0}(0,T)$, then

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T \eta(s)dB_s] = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T \eta(s)dB_s)^2] \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\eta^2(s)]ds.$$

Definition 2.9. For the 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion B_t, we denote $\langle B\rangle_t$ is the quadratic variation process of B_t, where $\langle B\rangle_t := \lim\limits_{\mu(\pi_t^N)\to 0} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{N-1} (B_{t_{k+1}^N} - B_{t_k^N})^2 = (B_t)^2 - 2\int_0^t B_s dB_s$.

Definition 2.10. For each $\eta \in M_G^{1,0}(0,T)$, define $\int_0^T \eta(s)d\langle B\rangle_s := \sum\limits_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k(\langle B\rangle_{t_{k+1}} - \langle B\rangle_{t_k})$.

Proposition 2.3. For any $0 \leq t \leq T < \infty$,
(i) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_0^T \eta_t d\langle B\rangle_t|] \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\eta_t|dt], \forall\ \eta_t \in M_G^1(0,T)$.
(ii) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T \eta_t dB_t)^2] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T \eta_t^2 d\langle B\rangle_t], \forall\ \eta_t \in M_G^2(0,T)$.
(iii) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\eta_t|^p dt] \leq \int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\eta_t|^p]dt, \forall\ \eta_t \in M_G^p(0,T),\ p \geq 1$.

3 Stability theorem of G-stochastic differential equations

In this section, we consider the stability theorem of G-stochastic differential equations. Consider the following stochastic differential equations driven by d-dimensional G-Brownian motion:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s,X_s)ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t h_{ij}(s,X_s)d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_j(s,X_s)dB_s^j, \quad t \in [0,T], \quad (3.1)$$

the initial condition $X_0 \in R^n$, and b, h_{ij}, σ_j are given functions satisfying $b(\cdot,x), h_{ij}(\cdot,x),\ \sigma_j(\cdot,x) \in M_G^2([0,T];R^n)$ for each $x \in R^n$. Consider the following G-SDEs depending on a parameter $\varepsilon(\varepsilon \geq 0)$:

$$X_t^\varepsilon = X_0^\varepsilon + \int_0^t b^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon)ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t h_{ij}^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon)d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_j^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon)dB_s^j, \quad t \in [0,T]. \quad (3.2)$$

We make the following assumptions:

Assumption 3.1. For any $\varepsilon \geq 0, x \in R^n, b^\varepsilon(\cdot,x), h_{ij}^\varepsilon(\cdot,x), \sigma_j^\varepsilon(\cdot,x) \in M_G^2([0,T];R^n), X_0^\varepsilon \in R^n$.

Assumption 3.2. For any $\varepsilon \geq 0, x, x_1, x_2 \in R^n$:

(H1) $|b^\varepsilon(t,x)|^2 + \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^d |h_{ij}^\varepsilon(t,x)|^2 + \sum\limits_{j=1}^d |\sigma_j^\varepsilon(t,x)|^2 \leq \alpha_1^2(t) + \alpha_2^2(t)|x|^2$,

(H2) $|b^\varepsilon(t,x_1)-b^\varepsilon(t,x_2)|^2+\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{d}|h^\varepsilon_{ij}(t,x_1)-h^\varepsilon_{ij}(t,x_2)|^2+\sum\limits_{j=1}^{d}|\sigma^\varepsilon_j(t,x_1)-\sigma^\varepsilon_j(t,x_2)|^2 \leq \alpha^2(t)\rho(|x_1-x_2|^2)$,
where $\alpha_1 \in M^2_G([0,T]), \alpha_2 : [0,T] \to R^+$ and $\alpha : [0,T] \to R^+$ are Lebesgue integrable, and $\rho : (0,+\infty) \to (0,+\infty)$ is continuous, increasing, concave function satisfying $\rho(0+) = 0, \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\rho(r)}dr = +\infty$.

Assumption 3.3. (i) $\forall\ t \in [0,T]$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\phi^\varepsilon(s,X^0_s) - \phi^0(s,X^0_s)|^2]ds \to 0,$$

where $\phi = b, h_{ij}$ and σ_j, respectively, $i,j = 1,\cdots,d$.
(ii) As $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$X^\varepsilon_0 \to X^0_0.$$

Remark 3.1. The Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2 guarantee, for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, the existence of a unique solution $X^\varepsilon_t \in M^2_G([0,T];R^n)$ of G-SDEs (3.2)(see [2]), while the Assumption 3.3 will allow us to deduce the following stability theorem for G-SDEs.

Theorem 3.1. Under the Assumptions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we have the following convergence: as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\forall\ t \in [0,T],\ \ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X^\varepsilon_t - X^0_t|^2] \to 0. \tag{3.3}$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1 (see Chemin and Lerner [4]). Let $\rho : (0,+\infty) \to (0,+\infty)$ be a continuous, increasing function satisfying $\rho(0+) = 0$, $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\rho(r)}dr = +\infty$ and let u be a measurable, nonnegative function defined on $(0,+\infty)$ satisfying

$$u(t) \leq a + \int_0^t \beta(s)\rho(u(s))ds,\ t \in (0,+\infty),$$

where $a \in [0,+\infty)$, and $\beta : [0,T] \to R^+$ is Lebesgue integrable. Then
(i) if $a = 0$, then $u(t) = 0$, for $t \in [0,+\infty)$;
(ii) if $a > 0$, then

$$u(t) \leq v^{-1}(v(a) + \int_0^t \beta(s)ds),$$

where $v(t) := \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{\rho(s)}ds, t_0 \in (0,+\infty)$.

Lemma 3.2 (see Peng [15]). Let $\rho : R \to R$ be a continuous increasing, concave function defined on R, then for each $X \in L^1_G(\Omega), \forall\ t \geq 0$, the following Jensen inequality holds:

$$\rho(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X|\Omega_t]) \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\rho(X)|\Omega_t].$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\hat{X}^\varepsilon_t := X^\varepsilon_t - X^0_t$, $\hat{X}^\varepsilon_0 := X^\varepsilon_0 - X^0_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}^\varepsilon_t &= \hat{X}^\varepsilon_0 + \int_0^t (b^\varepsilon(s,X^\varepsilon_s) - b^0(s,X^0_s))ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{d}\int_0^t (h^\varepsilon_{ij}(s,X^\varepsilon_s) - h^0_{ij}(s,X^0_s))d\langle B^i,B^j\rangle_s \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_0^t (\sigma^\varepsilon_j(s,X^\varepsilon_s) - \sigma^0_j(s,X^0_s))dB^j_s,\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\hat{X}_t^\varepsilon|^2 \leq C\{&|\hat{X}_0^\varepsilon|^2 + |\int_0^t (b^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon) - b^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0))ds|^2 + |\int_0^t (b^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - b^0(s,X_s^0))ds|^2 \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^d |\int_0^t (h_{ij}^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon) - h_{ij}^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0))d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^d |\int_0^t (h_{ij}^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - h_{ij}^0(s,X_s^0))d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s|^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^d |\int_0^t (\sigma_j^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon) - \sigma_j^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0))dB_s^j|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^d |\int_0^t (\sigma_j^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - \sigma_j^0(s,X_s^0))dB_s^j|^2\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

taking the G-expectation on both sides of the above relation and from Proposition 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{X}_t^\varepsilon|^2] \leq C\{&|\hat{X}_0^\varepsilon|^2 + \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|b^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon) - b^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds + \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|b^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - b^0(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|h_{ij}^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon) - h_{ij}^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|h_{ij}^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - h_{ij}^0(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\sigma_j^\varepsilon(s,X_s^\varepsilon) - \sigma_j^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\sigma_j^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - \sigma_j^0(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

by Assumption 3.2, we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{X}_t^\varepsilon|^2] \leq C^\varepsilon(T) + C_2\int_0^t \alpha^2(s)\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\rho(|\hat{X}_s^\varepsilon|^2)]ds, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}C^\varepsilon(t) := &C\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|b^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - b^0(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds + C\sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|h_{ij}^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - h_{ij}^0(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds \\ &+ C\sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\sigma_j^\varepsilon(s,X_s^0) - \sigma_j^0(s,X_s^0)|^2]ds + C|\hat{X}_0^\varepsilon|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Because ρ is concave and increasing, from Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{X}_t^\varepsilon|^2] \leq C^\varepsilon(T) + C_2\int_0^t \alpha^2(s)\rho(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{X}_s^\varepsilon|^2])ds. \tag{3.8}$$

Since as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $C^\varepsilon(T) \to 0$, hence, from Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{X}_t^\varepsilon|^2] \to 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

The proof is complete.

A special case of Assumption 3.2 is

Assumption 3.4 (Lipschitz condition). For any $x_1, x_2 \in R^n$, there exist constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\phi^\varepsilon(t,x_1) - \phi^\varepsilon(t,x_2)| \leq C_0|x_1 - x_2|, \quad t \in [0,T],$$

where $\phi = b, h_{ij}$ and σ_j, respectively, $i,j = 1, \cdots, d$.

Corollary 3.1. Under the Assumptions 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4, we have the convergence of the solution of the G-SDEs (3.2) in the sense of (3.3).

4 Stability theorem of G-backward stochastic differential equations

In this section, we give a stability theorem of backward stochastic differential equations driven by d-dimensional G-Brownian motion (G-BSDEs for short). Consider the following type of G-backward stochastic differential equations depending on a parameter ($\delta \geq 0$):

$$Y_t^\delta = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi^\delta + \int_t^T f^\delta(s, Y_s^\delta)ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T g_{ij}^\delta(s, Y_s^\delta)d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s|\Omega_t], \ t \in [0,T], \tag{4.1}$$

where $\xi^\delta \in L_G^1(\Omega_T; R^n)$ is given, and $f^\delta(\cdot, y), g_{ij}^\delta(\cdot, y) \in M_G^1(0,T; R^n)$.

We further make the following assumptions:

Assumption 4.1. For any $\delta \geq 0, y, y_1, y_2 \in R^n$,

(H1) $|f^\delta(t,y)| + \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^d |g_{ij}^\delta(t,y)| \leq \beta(t) + C|y|$,

(H2)$|f^\delta(t,y_1) - f^\delta(t,y_2)| + \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^d |g_{ij}^\delta(t,y_1) - g_{ij}^\delta(t,y_2)| \leq \rho(|y_1 - y_2|)$,

where $C > 0, \beta \in M_G^1([0,T]; R^+)$, and $\rho : (0,+\infty) \to (0,+\infty)$ is continuous, increasing, concave function satisfying $\rho(0+) = 0, \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\rho(r)}dr = +\infty$.

Assumption 4.2. (i) $\forall\ t \in [0,T]$, as $\delta \to 0$,

$$\int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\phi^\delta(s, Y_s^0) - \phi^0(s, Y_s^0)|]ds \to 0,$$

where $\phi = f, g_{ij}$ respectively, $i,j = 1, \cdots, d$.

(ii) As $\delta \to 0$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi^\delta - \xi^0|] \to 0.$$

Remark 4.1. Under the Assumptions 4.1 and 4.2, G-BSDEs (4.1) has a unique solution. The proof goes in a similar way as that in [2], and we omit it.

Theorem 4.1. Under the Assumptions 4.1 and 4.2, we have the following convergence: as $\delta \to 0$,

$$\forall\ t \in [0,T], \ \ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_t^\delta - Y_t^0|] \to 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let $\hat{Y}_t^\delta := Y_t^\delta - Y_t^0, \hat{\xi}^\delta := \xi^\delta - \xi^0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}|\hat{Y}_t^\delta| &\leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{\xi}^\delta| + \int_t^T |f^\delta(s, Y_s^\delta) - f^0(s, Y_s^0)|ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T |g_{ij}^\delta(s, Y_s^\delta) - g_{ij}^0(s, Y_s^0)|d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s|\Omega_t] \\ &\leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{\xi}^\delta| + \int_t^T |f^\delta(s, Y_s^0) - f^0(s, Y_s^0)|ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T |g_{ij}^\delta(s, Y_s^0) - g_{ij}^0(s, Y_s^0)|d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s \qquad (4.3) \\ &+ \int_t^T |f^\delta(s, Y_s^\delta) - f^\delta(s, Y_s^0)|ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T |g_{ij}^\delta(s, Y_s^\delta) - g_{ij}^\delta(s, Y_s^0)|d\langle B^i, B^j\rangle_s|\Omega_t].\end{aligned}$$

Taking the G-expectation on both sides of (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{Y}_t^\delta|] \le \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{\xi}^\delta|] + \int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|f^\delta(s,Y_s^\delta) - f^0(s,Y_s^0)|]ds + C\sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|g_{ij}^\delta(s,Y_s^\delta) - g_{ij}^0(s,Y_s^0)|]ds \\ + \int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|f^\delta(s,Y_s^\delta) - f^\delta(s,Y_s^0)|]ds + C\sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|g_{ij}^\delta(s,Y_s^\delta) - g_{ij}^\delta(s,Y_s^0)|]ds.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

From the Assumption 4.1, Propositions 2.1 and 2.3 as well as Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{Y}_t^\delta|] &\le C^\delta(0) + K_1\int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\rho(|\hat{Y}_s^\delta|)]ds \\ &\le C^\delta(0) + K_1\int_t^T \rho(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{Y}_s^\delta|])ds.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$C^\delta(0) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{\xi}^\delta|] + \int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|f^\delta(s,Y_s^0) - f^0(s,Y_s^0)|]ds + C\sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|g_{ij}^\delta(s,Y_s^0) - g_{ij}^0(s,Y_s^0)|]ds.$$

Since as $\delta \to 0$, $C^\delta(0) \to 0$, hence, from Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\hat{Y}_t^\delta|] \to 0.$$

The proof is complete.

A special case of Assumption 4.1 is

Assumption 4.3. For any $\delta \ge 0, y_1, y_2 \in R^n$, there exist constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\phi^\delta(t,y_1) - \phi^\delta(t,y_2)| \le C_0|y_1 - y_2|,\ t \in [0,T],$$

$\phi = f, g_{ij}$ respectively, $i,j = 1,\cdots,d$.

Corollary 4.1. Under the Assumptions 4.2 and 4.3, we have the convergence of the solution of the G-BSDEs (4.1) in the sense of (4.2).

5 Forward-backward stochastic differential equations

The goal of this section is to show the existence and uniqueness of forward-backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion. For notational simplification, we only consider the case of 1-dimensional G-Brownian motion. However, our method can be easily extend to the case of multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion. We consider the following system:

$$\begin{cases} X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s,X_s,Y_s)ds + \int_0^t h(s,X_s,Y_s)d\langle B\rangle_s + \int_0^t \sigma(s,X_s,Y_s)dB_s, \\ Y_t = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi + \int_t^T f(s,X_s,Y_s)ds + \int_t^T g(s,X_s,Y_s)d\langle B\rangle_s|\Omega_t],\ t \in [0,T], \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where the initial condition $x \in R$, the terminal data $\xi \in L_G^2(\Omega_T;R)$, and b,h,σ,f,g are given functions satisfying $b(\cdot,x,y), h(\cdot,x,y),\ \sigma(\cdot,x,y), f(\cdot,x,y), g(\cdot,x,y) \in M_G^2([0,T];R)$ for any $(x,y) \in$

R^2 and the Lipschitz condition, i.e., $|\phi(t,x,y)-\phi(t,x',y')| \leq K(|x-x'|+|y-y'|)$, for each $t \in [0,T], (x,y) \in R^2, (x',y') \in R^2, \phi = b, h, \sigma, f$ and g, respectively. The solution is a pair of processes $(X,Y) \in M_G^2(0,T;R) \times M_G^2(0,T;R)$.

This model is called forward-backward because the two components in the system (5.1) are solutions, respectively, of a G-forward and a G-backward stochastic differential equation.

We first introduce the following mappings on a fixed interval $[0,T]$:

$$\Lambda_\cdot^i : M_G^2(0,T;R) \times M_G^2(0,T;R) \to M_G^2(0,T;R) \times M_G^2(0,T;R), i = 1,2,$$

by setting $\Lambda_t^i, i = 1,2, t \in [0,T]$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_t^1(X,Y) &= x + \int_0^t b(s,X_s,Y_s)ds + \int_0^t h(s,X_s,Y_s)d\langle B\rangle_s + \int_0^t \sigma(s,X_s,Y_s)dB_s, \\ \Lambda_t^2(X,Y) &= \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi + \int_t^T f(s,X_s,Y_s)ds + \int_t^T g(s,X_s,Y_s)d\langle B\rangle_s|\Omega_t]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.1. For any $(X,Y),(X',Y') \in M_G^2(0,T;R)\times M_G^2(0,T;R)$, we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\Lambda_t^1(X,Y) - \Lambda_t^1(X',Y')|^2] &\leq C\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s - X_s'|^2 + |Y_s - Y_s'|^2]ds, t \in [0,T], \\ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\Lambda_t^2(X,Y) - \Lambda_t^2(X',Y')|^2] &\leq C'\int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s - X_s'|^2 + |Y_s - Y_s'|^2]ds, t \in [0,T], \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where $C = 24K^2, C' = 8K^2, K$ is Lipschitz coefficient.
Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\Lambda_t^1(X,Y) - \Lambda_t^1(X',Y')|^2] &\leq 4\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|b(s,X_s,Y_s) - b(s,X_s',Y_s')|^2]ds \\ &+ 4\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|h(s,X_s,Y_s) - h(s,X_s',Y_s')|^2]ds \\ &+ 4\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\sigma(s,X_s,Y_s) - \sigma(s,X_s',Y_s')|^2]ds \\ &\leq 24K^2\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s - X_s'|^2 + |Y_s - Y_s'|^2]ds. \end{aligned}$$

And since

$$\begin{aligned} |\Lambda_t^2(X,Y) - \Lambda_t^2(X',Y')|^2 &\leq 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_t^T f(s,X_s,Y_s) - f(s,X_s',Y_s')ds|^2 \\ &+ |\int_t^T g(s,X_s,Y_s) - g(s,X_s',Y_s')ds|^2|\Omega_t], \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\Lambda_t^2(X,Y)-\Lambda_t^2(X',Y')|^2] &\le 2\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\int_t^T f(s,X_s,Y_s)-f(s,X'_s,Y'_s)ds|^2\\ &+|\int_t^T g(s,X_s,Y_s)-g(s,X'_s,Y'_s)ds|^2]\\ &\le 2\int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|f(s,X_s,Y_s)-f(s,X'_s,Y'_s)|^2]ds\\ &+2\int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|g(s,X_s,Y_s)-g(s,X'_s,Y'_s)|^2]ds\\ &\le 8K^2\int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s-X'_s|^2+|Y_s-Y'_s|^2]ds.\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the space $M_G^2(0,T;R)\times M_G^2(0,T;R)$, with the norm $||(X,Y)||_{M_G^2(0,T)\times M_G^2(0,T)} := ||X||_{M_G^2(0,T)}+||Y||_{M_G^2(0,T)} = \int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s|^2]ds+\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Y_s|^2]ds$, this is a Banach space.

Theorem 5.1. Under the previously stated hypotheses and under the additional assumption that $(2\sqrt{6}+2\sqrt{2})K\sqrt{T}<1$, then there exists a unique solution $(X,Y)\in M_G^2(0,T;R)\times M_G^2(0,T;R)$ of the forward-backward stochastic differential equation (5.1) in the $M_G^2(0,T)\times M_G^2(0,T)$ sense.

Proof. Let us consider the space $M_G^2(0,T;R)\times M_G^2(0,T;R)$, with the norm

$$||(X,Y)||_{M_G^2(0,T)\times M_G^2(0,T)} := ||X||_{M_G^2(0,T)}+||Y||_{M_G^2(0,T)}.$$

We can view the system (5.1) as the operator $\Lambda_t(X,Y) := \begin{pmatrix}\Lambda_t^1(X,Y)\\ \Lambda_t^2(X,Y)\end{pmatrix}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned}&||\Lambda_t(X,Y)-\Lambda_t(X',Y')||_{M_G^2(0,T)\times M_G^2(0,T)}\\ &=||\Lambda_t^1(X,Y)-\Lambda_t^1(X',Y')||_{M_G^2(0,T)}+||\Lambda_t^2(X,Y)-\Lambda_t^2(X',Y')||_{M_G^2(0,T)}\\ &=(\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\Lambda_t^1(X,Y)-\Lambda_t^1(X',Y'))^2]dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &+(\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\Lambda_t^2(X,Y)-\Lambda_t^2(X',Y'))^2]dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Because the terminal data $\xi\in L_G^2(\Omega_T;R)$, and b,h,σ,f,g are given functions satisfying $b(\cdot,x,y),h(\cdot,x,y)$, $\sigma(\cdot,x,y),f(\cdot,x,y),g(\cdot,x,y)\in M_G^2([0,T];R)$ for any $(x,y)\in R^2$ and the Lipschitz condition, we can prove $||\Lambda_t(X,Y)||_{M_G^2(0,T)\times M_G^2(0,T)}<+\infty,\forall(X,Y)\in M_G^2(0,T;R)\times M_G^2(0,T;R)$. Next, we prove it is a contraction mapping. From the Lemma 5.1, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&||\Lambda_t(X,Y)-\Lambda_t(X',Y')||_{M_G^2(0,T)\times M_G^2(0,T)}\\ &\le(\int_0^T C\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s-X'_s|^2+|Y_s-Y'_s|^2]dsdt)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &+(\int_0^T C'\int_t^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s-X'_s|^2+|Y_s-Y'_s|^2]dsdt)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le(\sqrt{C}+\sqrt{C'})\sqrt{T}\int_0^T \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_s-X'_s|^2+|Y_s-Y'_s|^2]ds\\ &=(\sqrt{C}+\sqrt{C'})\sqrt{T}||(X-X',Y-Y')||_{M_G^2(0,T)\times M_G^2(0,T)}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

From the assumption $(2\sqrt{6}+2\sqrt{2})K\sqrt{T} < 1$, we can obtain that $\Lambda_t(X,Y)$ is a contraction mapping. Hence a unique fixed point for Λ exists and this is the solution of our system (5.1). The proof is complete.

In the last section, we present stability theorem for forward-backward stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion.

6 Stability theorem of forward-backward stochastic differential equations

Consider a family of forward-backward stochastic differential equations with parameter ($\gamma \geq 0$),

$$\begin{cases} X_t^\gamma = x^\gamma + \int_0^t b^\gamma(s, X_s^\gamma, Y_s^\gamma)ds + \int_0^t h^\gamma(s, X_s^\gamma, Y_s^\gamma)d\langle B\rangle_s + \int_0^t \sigma^\gamma(s, X_s^\gamma, Y_s^\gamma)dB_s, \\ Y_t^\gamma = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi^\gamma + \int_t^T f^\gamma(s, X_s^\gamma, Y_s^\gamma)ds + \int_t^T g^\gamma(s, X_s^\gamma, Y_s^\gamma)d\langle B\rangle_s|\Omega_t], \ t \in [0,T], \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

where the initial condition $x^\gamma \in R$, the terminal data $\xi^\gamma \in L_G^2(\Omega_T; R)$, and $b^\gamma, h^\gamma, \sigma^\gamma, f^\gamma, g^\gamma$ are given functions satisfying $b^\gamma(\cdot, x, y), h^\gamma(\cdot, x, y),\ \sigma^\gamma(\cdot, x, y), f^\gamma(\cdot, x, y), g^\gamma(\cdot, x, y) \in M_G^2([0,T]; R)$ for any $(x,y) \in R^2$ and the Lipschitz condition, i.e.,
Assumption 6.1.

$$|\phi(t,x,y) - \phi(t,x',y')| \leq K(|x-x'| + |y-y'|),$$

for each $t \in [0,T], (x,y) \in R^2, (x',y') \in R^2, \phi = b^\gamma, h^\gamma, \sigma^\gamma, f^\gamma$ and g^γ, respectively.

We further make the following assumption:
Assumption 6.2. (i) $\forall\ t \in [0,T]$, as $\gamma \to 0$,

$$\int_0^t \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\phi^\gamma(s, X_s^0, Y_s^0) - \phi^0(s, X_s^0, Y_s^0)|^2]ds \to 0,$$

where $\phi = b, h, \sigma, f$ and g, respectively.
(ii) As $\gamma \to 0$,

$$x^\gamma \to x^0, \ \ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi^\gamma - \xi^0|^2] \to 0.$$

Theorem 6.1. Under the Assumptions 6.1 and 6.2, then as $\gamma \to 0$, (X_t^γ, Y_t^γ) convergence to (X_t^0, Y_t^0) in the sense that

$$\forall\ t \in [0,T], \ \ \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X_t^\gamma - X_t^0|^2 + |Y_t^\gamma - Y_t^0|^2] \to 0. \tag{6.2}$$

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is similar to that of the Theorem 3.1; so, we omit it.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Professor Zengjing Chen for helpful discussion and suggestion.

References

[1] F. Antonelli, *Backward-forward stochastic differential equations*, Ann. Appl. Probab. **3**(1993) 777-793.

[2] X. Bai, Y. Lin, *On the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion with integral-Lipschitz coefficients*, arXiv: math. PR/ 1002.1046V2, 2010.

[3] J. M. Bismut, *Conjugate convex functions in optimal stochastic control*, J. Math. Anal. Apl. **44**(1973) 384-404.

[4] J. Y. Chemin, N. Lerner, *Flow of Non-Lipschitz Vector-Fields and Navier-Stokes Equations*, J. Differential Equations **121**(1995) 314-328.

[5] L. Denis, M. Hu, S. Peng, *Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G-Brownian motion paths*, Potential Anal., 2010, doi.10.1007/s11118-010-9185-x.

[6] N. El Karoui, S. Peng, M. C. Quenez, *Backward stochastic differential equation in finance*, Mathematical Finance **7**(1997) 1-71.

[7] F. Gao, *Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **119**(2009) 3356-3382.

[8] F. Gao, H. Jiang, *Large deviations for stochastic differential equations driven by G-Brownian motion*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **120**(2010) 2212-2240.

[9] Y. Hu, S. Peng, *Solution of forward-backward stochastic differential equations*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **103**(1995) 273-283.

[10] Y. Hu, S. Peng, *A stability theorem of backward stochastic differential equations and its application*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics **324** (1997) 1059-1064.

[11] J. Ma, P. Protter, J. Yong, *Solving forward-backward stochastic differential equations explicitly - a four step scheme*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **98**(1994) 339-359.

[12] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, *Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation*, Systems Control Letters **14**(1990) 61-74.

[13] S. Peng, *G-Expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochasticcal culus of Itô's type*, in: Benth, etal. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 2005 Abel Symposium 2, Springer-Verlag, 2006, 541-567.

[14] S. Peng, *Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **118**(2008) 2223-2253.

[15] S. Peng, *Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty-with Robust Central Limit Theorem and G-Brownian Motion*, arXiv:math. PR/1002.4546v1, 2010.

[16] P. Protter, *Stochastic integration and differential equations - a new approach*, Springer, 1990.

[17] H. M. Soner, N. Touzi, J. Zhang, *Martingale representation theorem for the G-expectation*, arXiv:math. PR/1001.3802v2, 2010.

[18] Y. Song, *Some properties on G-evalution and its applications to G-martingale decomposition*, arXiv:math. PR/1001.2802v2, 2010.

[19] J. Xu, B. Zhang, *Martingale characterization of G-Brownian motion*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. **119**(2009) 232-248.